



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_18726
Title of the Manuscript:	Enteric Viruses Association With Diarrhea in Young Children 0-24 Months in an Urban Secondary Health Center in Benin City, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have revised the manuscript "Enteric Viruses Association With Diarrhea in Young Children 0-24 Months in an Urban Secondary Health Center in Benin City, Nigeria" Ms_IJTDH_18726. Comments are as follows: 1. Some sections of the manuscript are not clear and require new redaction (indicated on the manuscript) 2. More local data about the infection is required in the introduction section and the problem should be highlighted, 3. Authors should include the criteria selection for study children in the "Methods" section. 4. It is not clear how did the authors analyze the data. Statistical advisement is required by an expertise. Comment were included in the manuscript 5. Titles of the tables should be self-explicative. Tables should show what the authors are describing in the test. 6. Discussion is poor. More data for comparison should be included in the "Discussion" section. 7. In my opinion the manuscript cannot be published in the current form	(1). This has been taken care of. (2). There is paucity of information in this locality, hence , the need for the study to improve data on viral diarrhea. (3). This has been done. (4). Data were analysed by statistical software 'INSTAT', which automatically generates the required values.. (5). Tables have been worked on. (6). Additional information has been added to the discussion. (7).. The authors have revised the manuscript to the best ability suitable for publication.
Minor REVISION comments	ethical issues -There are ethical issues in the manuscript. This study is working with humans	
Optional/General comments		